

Technical possibilities to monitor vessel emissions explored in the SCIPPER project and their impact

Prof. Leonidas Ntziachristos Mechanical Engineering Dept. Aristotle University Thessaloniki

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement Nr.814893

Presentation at the POSIDONIA 2022 June 9, 2022





Concept

Real-world deployment of various monitoring techniques

Implementation of 5 experimental campaigns at different locations

Runs from 5.2019-1.2023



- Application / validation / comparison of various emission measurement and monitoring techniques for emission standards compliance checking purposes
 - Determination of the impact of shipping on air quality at coastal and harbor level



and the loss of the



Experimental Campaigns Locations

Western English channel (GB)



Marseille (FR)





Gothenburg (SE) to Kiel (DE)



Hamburg - Wedel (DE)





Instrumentation on Sniffer Systems

	Partner/Group	Instrument
1984	BSH	3 sniffers (CO ₂ , SO ₂ , NO, NO ₂ , O ₃) 2 particle size classifiers (5.6 nm – 10µm) 1 LP-DOAS (SO ₂ , NO ₂) *
	Chalmers	 I sniffer (CO₂, SO₂, NO_x) I laser spectrometer (CO₂, SO₂) I particle size classifier (5.6 nm - 10 μm) I aethalometer (BC) I zenith-sky DOAS (SO₂, NO₂) I mini-Sniffer on UAV (CO₂, SO₂, NO, NO₂, PM)
	Explicit	I mini-Sniffer on UAV (CO ₂ , SO ₂ , NO, NO ₂)
	TNO	I sniffer (CO ₂ , SO ₂ , NO, NO ₂) I CPC I particle size classifier (90 nm – 7.5 μm) I aethalometer (BC)
	aseous emission gaseous emission particle emission	(in plume) * operated by University of Bremen n, (optical, remote) n (in plume)



Overview of **CI**

Contractor Services

Marseille, September 2019



Remote compliance monitoring of FSC in ships in and outside the port before global FSC regulations

- First assessment of state-of-art remote and UAS comparability
- Assessment of state-of-art remote techniques including uncertainty characterization
- Input to AQ emissions before global FSC regulation



21 plumes measured by drones

30 plumes measured by a sniffer boat & 17 for intercomparison on SO_2 and NO_x



Air quality measurements at harbor sites





Highlight of C



- Mini-sniffer (Aeromon BH-12) drone with SO_2 , CO_2 , NO, NO₂, PM₁, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ sensors.
 - Calibrated daily with certified calibration gases for traceability and quality control.
- In comparison with the Chalmers reference method, achieved a good linear fit between FSC% results from same plumes.
- Comparison between NOx and PM is ongoing and full comparison with all parameters will be published later this year.





Overview of C4



Remote compliance check after global sulphur cap

Characterization of fresh ship plumes and evaluation of atmospheric transformations

Shipping emissions impact on urban AQ

Equipped vessel – Drones - Harbor based stations – Network of AQ microsensors in the city

38 different vessels measured 126 plumes



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement Nr.814893



Comparison CI C4

FSC and NOx emissions detection (2019)

Sniffer boat





 Measurements were repeated after the new limits enforcement



- Two vessels found to exceed 0,5% FSC in 2021



LINE FOR STATE THE ST



C3 Objectives

- Intercomparison of different remote measurement techniques and strategies
- Originally planned for 07 Sept 02 Oct, and extended to 15 Oct, 2020
- The objectives of the campaign are:
 - demonstration of state-of-the-art and novel measurement techniques,
 - performance assessment of remote measurement techniques, including uncertainty characterisation for SO₂, NO_x and particulate matter monitoring,
 - harmonization of compliance monitoring measurement and reporting procedures,
 - implementation and validation as input for air quality simulations,
 - sulphur emission compliance monitoring during the campaign.







Wedel, September 2021



C3 Campaign Setup

- All systems are operated side-by-side to make them comparable under the same environmental conditions
- >910 allocated plumes from >420 individual ships have been analysed for FSC by all systems
- thereof 70 different plumes from 58 different vessels with UAV borne mini-sniffer
- Intercomparison to fuel samples and bunker delivery notes from passing ships







C2 Sensor Systems on-board

Partner	Instrumentation/sensor List	Placement	Measurement period
AUTH	BC Optoacoustic sensor	eDiluter, deck 7	2 weeks
τνο	Automotive sensors: NO _x /O ₂ , NH ₃ , temperature sensor (thermocouple)	2 raw (deck 2, deck 7)	4 months
AEROMON	CO_2 (NDIR), SO_2 (EC), NO_2 (EC), NO (EC), NH_3 (EC), CO (EC) and PM (OPC)	eDiluter, deck 7	l week
TAU	Dilution system for particle measurement	deck 7	2 weeks
TAU	PN sensors, BC sensors (from FMI) and reference CPC	deck 7	2 weeks
TAU	Reference aethalometer	Deck 7 after WP3 sampling	l week
CML	PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ , NO, NO ₂ , CO ₂ , SO ₂	deck 7 / 10: (one box aft and one box stern)	l week



+ high-end reference measurements by IVL





Sensors for NH3

Gas sensor for

background



C2 Sensor Systems Setup



Air quality sensor



Proto BC sensor





Plume air quality sensors



Automotive sensors





- In optimal satellite viewing conditions (cloudfree, sun glint) NO₂ signal from single ships is clearly visible, while for other trace gases signal seems to be too weak and below satellite detection limit.
- With long temporal averaging and data filtering (e.g. account for winds) some elevated signal of SO₂ and HCHO could be detected over busiest shipping routes.



SCIPPER

Call Here Methods Overview (On-going assessment)

Technique	On-Board	Small UAV	Patrol-Vessel	Aircraft/Large UAV	Fixed Station	Fixed station	Optical - Satellite
Method	Sensors	Sniffers			Remote Optical		
Most widespread detection techniques	SO _x (IR or DOAS) NO, NO ₂ (Electrochem.) CO ₂ (NDIR) BC/PN (various)	SO ₂ (Electrochem., DOAS) NO, NO ₂ (Electrochem.) CO ₂ (NDIR) New concepts	SO ₂ (UV Fluorescence) NO, NO ₂ (CLD) PN (CPC) CO ₂ (NDIR, CRDS)		SO ₂ (DOAS, IR Iradiance) NO ₂ (DOAS)	NO ₂ , SO ₂ (DOAS)	
Experience	Yes, Scrubber vessels	DK, FI, EMSA	DE, FR, SE	EMSA, BE, FI, (SE)	de, nl, se, dk, fi	DE	FI, GR, NL
Flexibility in terms of monitoring location	On-board	Yes (restrictions)	Yes (restrictions)	Yes (restrictions)	No	No	No (5.5×3.5 km ² , depends on pass)
Open Sea surveillance	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Availability of results	Can be on-line	Immediately	Immediately	After landing	Immediately	Immediately	Post-processing
Suitable sites	vessels	line of sight (smaller harbour, canal,)	ports, busy lanes	coast and open sea	<u>major</u> shipping lane (harbour, canal, pole, bridge,)		Away from other major sources
Operation time	24/7 (automated)	daylight	24/7	daylight	24/7 (automated)	24/7 (automated)	daylight/weather
Resources (cost, personnel)/vessel	High	Low-Medium	Medium	High	Low	Low	Medium (currently processing-tedious)







Summary and conclusions

- A large number of possibilities in measuring vessel emissions locally and remotely
- The potential of different techniques demonstrated in five field campaigns
- The selection of the most appropriate method is case specific; no silver bullet method
- SCIPPER project can provide more specific support to local authorities in selecting their approach





Follow us on

f @scipperproject y @ScipperProject in @SCIPPER project ScipperProject

Contact information Email: leon@auth.gr Telephone: +30 2310 996003 Website: www.scipper-project.eu

Thank You



C EXPLICIT















CHALMERS Torper Designed Designation

Assessed April 128 (April

Atmo





Aeroman

HelmholtzZentrum münchen

Aix Marseille



PML Byrnouth Marine





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement Nr.814893

iv

ma ere Philip